It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a substance deficient in butterfat had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, and had been substituted in part for the article. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that the article contained less than 80 percent of butterfat.

Misbranding of the article was alleged for the reason that it had been sold, shipped, and labeled as "butter", which was false and misleading in that said

article contained less than 80 percent of milk fat.

On July 24, 1933, the Peter Fox Sons Co., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a good and sufficient bond, conditioned in part that it be reworked under the supervision of this Department.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

21392. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 18 Tubs and 10 Tubs of Butter. Consent decrees of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. nos. 30986, 31103. Sample nos. 40310-A, 40338-A.)

These cases involved shipments of butter, samples of which were found to contain less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat, the standard for butter

established by Congress.

On or about August 7, 1933, and August 23, 1933, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of 28 tubs of butter at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce, in part on or about July 26, 1933, and in part on or about August 9, 1933, by Durant Ice Cream & Creamery Co., from Durant, Okla., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libels that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent of milk fat

as provided by the act of March 4, 1923.

On August 7 and September 20, 1933, the Peter Fox Sons Co., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and consented to the entry of decrees, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of good and sufficient bonds, conditioned in part that it be reworked under the supervision of this Department.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

21393. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 13 Tubs and 18 Tubs of Butter. Consent decrees of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. nos. 30961, 30964. Sample nos. 40286-A, 40742-A.)

These cases involved shipments of butter, samples of which were found to contain less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat, the standard for butter

established by Congress.

On July 22 and July 29, 1933, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of 31 tubs of butter at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce in part on or about July 16, 1933, and in part on or about July 20, 1933, by Sioux Valley Cooperative Creamery, from Lake Park, Iowa, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libels that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent of milk fat as

provided by the act of March 4, 1923.

On July 24 and August 7, 1933, the Peter Fox Sons Co., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and consented to the entry of a decree, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of good and sufficient bonds, conditioned in part that it be reworked under the supervision of this Department.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.