21941. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 448 Pounds of Butter. Default decree of condemnation. Product delivered to welfare organizations. (F. & D. no. 31769. Sample no. 41162-A.)

This case involved a shipment of butter, samples of which were found to contain less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat, the standard for butter

established by Congress.

On November 17, 1933, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Iowa, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 448 pounds of butter at Dubuque, Iowa, alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about November 8, 1933, by the Tennyson Cooperative Creamery Co., from Potosi, Wis., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent by weight of

milk fat as prescribed by the act of Congress of March 4, 1923.

On December 8, 1933, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be distributed to welfare organizations.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

21942. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 2,079 Pounds of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation. Product released under bond. (F. & D. no. 31770. Sample no. 41161-A.)

This case involved an interstate shipment of butter, samples of which were found to contain less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat, the standard for

butter established by Congress.

On November 17, 1933, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Iowa, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 2,079 pounds of butter at Dubuque, Iowa, alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about November 8, 1933, by the Woodbine Creamery, from Woodbine, Ill., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent of milk fat, as

provided by the act of Congress of March 4, 1923.

On December 8, 1933, the Woodbine Creamery Co., Woodbine, Ill., having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, conditioned in part that it be reworked under the supervision of this Department.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

21943. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 15 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond to be reworked. (F. & D. no. 31771. Sample no. 51920-A.)

This case involved an interstate shipment of butter, samples of which were found to contain less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat, the standard for

butter established by Congress.

On November 29, 1933, the United States attorney for the District of New Jersey, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 15 tubs of butter at Newark, N.J., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about November 18, 1933, by the Hopkinton Cooperative Creamery Association, from Hopkinton, Iowa, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent of milk

fat as provided by the act of March 4, 1923.

On December 9, 1933, the Hopkinton Cooperative Creamery Association, claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$400, conditioned that it be reworked so as to comply with the Federal Food and Drugs Act.

M. L. WILSON, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

21944. Misbranding of Krimp Rol Wheat. U. S. v. 15 Cases of Krimp Rol Wheat. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product ordered sold, unless taken down under bond for relabeling. (F. & D. no. 31780. Sample no. 50870-A.)

This case involved an interstate shipment of a breakfast cereal the label of which bore unwarranted health claims.

On January 2, 1934, the United States attorney for the District of Wyoming, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 15 cases of Krimp Rol Wheat at Cheyenne, Wyo., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about July 15 and November 1, 1933, by F. W. Zweig & Son, from Fort Collins, Colo., and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended. The article was labeled in part: "Zweig's Krimp Rol Wheat * * * Krimp Rol is a special blend of two kinds of Colorado Grown Wheat."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the following statements on the cartons were false, fraudulent, and misleading, and deceived the purchaser, since the article did not have any curative or therapeutic effect, and was wholly devoid of any medicinal qualities or beneficial effect other than would result or be obtained from any ordinary kind of food: "Body building * * * Contains all of the minerals and natural foods necessary to the health and vigor of the human body * * * For those who want to keep well * * * For those who are trying to regain health and vigor * * * Modern Health Food It is especially recommended as a diet food for people suffering from nervousness undernourishment intestinal or stomach trouble, kidney ailments, chronic constipation and many blood disorders It not only aids in curing these ailments but helps to prevent them * * * For Health."

On January 12, 1934, F. W. Zweig & Son having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered. The decree provided that the product might be released to the claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$100, conditioned that it be relabeled, otherwise that it be sold by the United States marshal.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

21945. Misbranding of canned cherries. U. S. v. 406 Cases of Canned Cherries. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond to be relabeled. (F. & D. nos. 31781, 31782, 31783. Sample nos. 66685-A, 66685-A, 66686-A.)

Examination of the canned cherries involved in this case showed that the article contained insufficient sugar to bring the sugar content of the liquid portion up to the standard established by this Department, and was not labeled to indicate that it was substandard.

On December 28, 1933, the United States attorney for the District of Colorado, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 406 cases of canned cherries at Pueblo, Colo., consigned by the National Fruit Canning Co., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 28, 1933, from Seattle, Wash., and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended. The article was labeled in part: (Cans) "National Red [or "Blue"] Brand Pitted Red Sour Cherries Packed by National Fruit Canning Co., Seattle."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that it was canned food and fell below the standard of quality and condition promulgated by the Secretary of Agriculture for such canned food, because the liquid portion read less than 16 degrees Brix, and the package or label did not bear a plain and conspicuous statement prescribed by regulation of this Department indicating that it fell below such standard.

On February 24, 1934, R. Keith Hall, Denver, Colo., having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the claimant upon payment of costs