On January 9, 1934, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 1 barrel, containing approximately 154 pounds of butter, at Baltimore, Md., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about December 21, 1933, by the Barrett Produce Co., from Dalton, Ga., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: (Tag) "From Barrett Produce Co., Dalton, Ga."

It was alleged in the Hoel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent of milk fat as provided by the act of March 4, 1923. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that the article consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance.

On February 17, 1934, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

22043. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 2 Cans of Butter. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. no. 31901. Sample no. 54609-A.)

This case involved a shipment of butter that contained rodent hair, mold, fiber and other extraneous matter.

On January 9, 1934, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of two cans, containing approximately 102 pounds of butter, at Baltimore, Md., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about December 22, 1933, by L. L. Rogers, from Gainesville, Ga., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: (Tag) "From L. L. Rogers, P. O. Brookton, Ga., Shipp. Point Gainesville, Ga."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance.

On February 17, 1934, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

22044. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 11 Cubes of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. no. 31910. Sample no. 60517-A.)

This case involved a shipment of butter which contained less than 80 percent

by weight of milk fat and which contained filth.

On January 4, 1934, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 11 cubes of butter at Seattle, Wash., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about December 15, 1933, by the Northern Creamery Co., from Great Falls, Mont., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent of milk fat as provided by the act of March 4, 1923. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that the article consisted in whole or in part of a filthy and decomposed animal substance.

On February 9, 1934, the sole intervener, the Sentinel-Missoula Creamery Co., having consented to the destruction of the product, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that it be destroyed by the United States marshal.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

22045. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 1 Barrel of Butter. cree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. no. 31911. Sample no. 66115-A.)

This case involved a shipment of butter which contained rodent hairs, insects and parts of their bodies, and other extraneous matter.