

salt tablets compound; that it could be used in place of the ordinary Epsom salts; that two tablets were as effective as a tablespoonful of Epsom salt, and that it was manufactured by the Penn Drug & Supply Co., Scranton, Pa.; whereas the article was not Epsom salt tablets compound in that it contained an inappreciable amount of Epsom salt; it could not be used in place of the ordinary Epsom salts; two tablets were not as effective as a tablespoonful of Epsom salt; and the article was manufactured by the DeVore Manufacturing Co., Columbus, Ohio.

On June 23, 1934, a plea of guilty was entered on behalf of the defendant company and the court imposed a fine of \$10.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**22661. Misbranding of Servu Vaporizing Rub. U. S. v. 1,728 Jars of Servu Vaporizing Rub. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. no. 31765. Sample no. 59135-A.)**

Examination of the drug product involved in this case showed that it contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing certain curative and therapeutic effects claimed in the labeling.

On December 22, 1934, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 1,728 jars of Servu Vaporizing Rub at Decatur, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce, on or about November 15, 1933, by the Service Laboratories, from St. Louis, Mo., and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this Department showed that it consisted essentially of volatile oils such as methyl salicylate, camphor, menthol, eucalyptus oil, and turpentine oil, incorporated in an ointment base composed of petrolatum and fat.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the following statements regarding its curative and therapeutic effects, appearing on the jar label, were false and fraudulent: "Directions for \* \* \* coughs \* \* \* for \* \* \* rheumatism, stiff neck, etc."

On July 25, 1934, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**22662. Misbranding of Po-Da-Cro Bak-Ake Kidney and Bladder Pills. U. S. v. The Podacro Co., Inc. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$250. (F. & D. no. 32204. Sample no. 30497-A.)**

Examination of the drug preparation involved in this case showed that it contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing certain curative and therapeutic effects claimed in the labeling.

On or about August 8, 1934, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Tennessee, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the Podacro Co., Inc., Morristown, Tenn., alleging shipment by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about May 2, 1933, from the State of Tennessee into the State of Virginia, of a quantity of Po-Da-Cro Bak-Ake Kidney and Bladder Pills which were misbranded.

Analysis of a sample of the pills by this Department showed that they contained juniper oil and methylene blue and were coated with calcium carbonate.

It was alleged in the information that the article was misbranded in that certain statements, designs, and devices regarding its curative and therapeutic effect, appearing on the bottle label, display box, and in a circular shipped with the article, falsely and fraudulently represented that it was effective as a treatment, remedy, and cure for kidney and bladder ailments and troubles, and for all urinary troubles, dropsy, rheumatism, and backache; effective to act on the liver; effective as a preventive of Bright's disease and other diseases of the kidneys; effective to tone up the stomach, to purify the blood, and to flush out and strengthen the kidneys; effective as a treatment, remedy, and cure for weak or lame back, congestion of kidneys, pain over kidneys, pain in back or side, inflammation of bladder, gravel, scalding urine, painful passage of urine, scanty urine, too frequent desire to urinate, sediment in urine, cloudy urine,