misleading, and for the further reason that the article was labeled so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser, since the said statement and design represented that the article consisted in part of string beans and pimentos, whereas it contained no string beans and pimentos.

On June 23, 1934, a plea of guilty was entered on behalf of the defendant

company, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

22726. Adulteration of tullibees. U. S. v. Oliver J. Selvog. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$40. (F. & D. no. 32176. Sample nos. 43856-A, 43857-A.)

This case was based on shipments of tullibees that contained cysts of parasitic worms.

On May 24, 1934, the United States attorney for the District of Minnesota, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against Oliver J. Selvog, Warroad, Minn., alleging shipment by said defendant in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about September 21 and September 23, 1933, from the State of Minnesota into the State of New York, of quantities of tullibees which were adulterated. The article was labeled, "From O. J. S. Address Warroad", or "From Oliver Selvog, Address Warroad."

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of filthy animal substances, namely, cysts; and in that it consisted of portions of animals unfit for food.

On June 25, 1934, the defendant entered a plea of guilty and the court imposed a fine of \$40.

M. L. WILSON, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

22727. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. Sentinel-Missoula Creamery, Inc. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50 and costs. (F. & D. no. 32203. Sample nos. 54756-A, 54757-A, 54758-A.)

This case was based on interstate shipments of two lots of butter that contained less than 80 percent of milk fat.

On July 12, 1934, the United States attorney for the District of Montana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the Sentinel-Missoula Creamery, Inc., a corporation, Missoula, Mont., alleging shipment by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about December 30, 1933, and January 2, 1934, from the State of Montana into the State of Washington, of quantities of butter which was adulterated.

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which must contain not less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat as required by the act of Congress of March 4, 1923, which the article purported to be.

On July 19, 1934, a plea of guilty was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$50 and costs.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

22728. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. Edelstein Dairy Co., Inc. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. no. 32112. Sample no. 43258-A.)

This case was based on an interstate shipment of butter that contained less than 80 percent of milk fat.

On May 8, 1934, the United States attorney for the District of Connecticut, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the Edelstein Dairy Co., Inc., trading at Hartford, Conn., alleging shipment by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about June 12, 1933, from the State of Connecticut into the State of New York, of a quantity of butter which was adulterated and misbranded. The article was labeled in part: "Edelstein Dairy Company, * * * Brooklyn, N. Y."

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which must contain not less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat as required by the act of Congress of March 4, 1923, which the article purported to be.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article, in that it was offered for sale as butter, whereas it was not butter, since it contained less than 80 percent of milk fat.

On July 31, 1934, a plea of nolo contendere was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

22729. Misbranding of cottonseed cake and meal. U. S. v. Traders Oil Mill Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. no. 32183. Sample nos. 19839-A, 19840-A.)

This case was based on interstate shipments of cottonseed cake and meal which contained less than 43 percent of protein, the amount declared on the labels.

On July 24, 1934, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the Traders Oil Mill Co., a corporation, Fort Worth, Tex., alleging shipment by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about July 10 and July 25, 1933, from the State of Texas into the State of Kansas, of quantities of cottonseed cake and meal which were misbranded. One shipment was labeled in part: "43% Protein Cracked Cottonseed Cake Prime Quality Manufactured by Traders Oil Mill Co., Fort Worth, Tex., Guaranteed Analysis: Crude Protein not less than 43.00 Per Cent." The other shipment was labeled in part: "'Army Brand' Prime Quality 43% Protein Cottonseed Cake and Meal * * Protein, not less than 43% * Manufactured for Louis Tobian & Company Dallas, Texas."

It was alleged in the information that the articles were misbranded in that the statements, "Guaranteed Analysis: Crude Protein not less than 43.00 Per Cent", and "Protein, not less than 43%", borne on the tags of the respective lots, were false and misleading, and for the further reason that the articles were labeled so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser, since they contained less than 43 percent of protein, samples from the two lots having been found to contain 40.13 and 40.81 percent, respectively, of protein.

On July 28, 1934, a plea of guilty was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

22730. Adulteration of canned cherries. U. S. v. Paulus Bros. Packing Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. no. 32213. Sample no. 59202-A.)

This case was based on an interstate shipment of canned cherries that contained maggots.

On July 12, 1934, the United States attorney for the District of Oregon, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the Paulus Bros. Packing Co., a corporation, Salem, Oreg., alleging shipment by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about November 8, 1933, from the State of Oregon into the State of Missouri, of a quantity of canned cherries which were adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Jack Sprat Brand Royal Anne Cherries, Packed for Jack Sprat Foods, Inc., Marshalltown, Iowa."

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a filthy vegetable and animal substance due to infestation with a large number of maggots.

On July 18, 1934, a plea of guilty was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

22731. Adulteration of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 653 Cases of Canned Tomatoes. Decree of condemnation. Product released under bond for separation and destruction of unfit portion. (F. & D. no. 32267. Sample nos. 58812-A, 55536-A.)

Samples of canned tomatoes taken from the shipment involved in this case were found to contain maggots.

On March 8, 1934, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 653 cases of canned tomatoes at Philadelphia, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped