On June 9, 1936, the Food Materials Corporation, claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libels and having consented to the entry of decrees, judgments of condemnation were entered and the court ordered the product released under bond conditioned that it be relabeled under supervision of this Department.

W. R. GREGG, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26003. Adulteration of tomato catsup. U. S. v. 24½ Cases of Tomato Catsup. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. no. 37285, Sample no. 60008–B.)

This case involved an interstate shipment of tomato catsup that was found to

contain worm debris and to be actively decomposing.

On March 6, 1936, the United States attorney for the District of Arizona, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 24½ cases of tomato catsup at Nogales, Ariz., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about April 15, 1935, by the Crown Products Corporation, from Los Angeles, Calif., and that it was adulterated in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article, contained in bottles, was labeled: "Windsor Brand Tomato Catsup Contents 14 Ozs. O. B. Miller Co. Glendale, Calif."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was shipped as and for food and was adulterated in violation of the provision of the Food and Drugs Act that an article of food shall be deemed to be adulterated if it consists in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal or vegetable substance, in that

the article contained worm debris and was active.

On April 13, 1936, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be destroyed.

W. R. GREGG, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26004. Misbranding of canned tomato juice. U. S. v. 64 Cases of Tomato Juice. Consent decree of condemnation. Product released under bond for relabeling. (F. & D. no. 37287. Sample no. 53433-B.)

This case involved an interstate shipment of canned tomato juice the cans of which were found to contain less than the measure stated on the label.

On March 5, 1936, the United States attorney for the District of Oregon, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 64 cases of canned tomato juice at Portland, Oreg., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about January 6, 1936, by the Walla Walla Canning Co., from Walla Walla, Wash., and that it was misbranded in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled: "Walla Walla Valley Brand Tomato Juice Contents 3 Qts. 3 Fl. Ozs. Packed by Walla Walla Canning Co. Walla Walla, Washington Produce of U. S. A."

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement on the label, "Contents 3 Qts. 3 Fl. Ozs.", was false and misleading and tended to deceive and mislead the purchaser; and in that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the

outside of the package, since the quantity stated was incorrect.

On April 10, 1936, the Walla Walla Canning Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered that the product be released under bond conditioned that it be relabeled.

W. R. GREGG, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26005. Adulteration and misbranding of preserves. U. S. v. 4 Cases of Alleged Strawberry Preserves, et al. Default decrees entered. Portion of product condemned and destroyed; remainder delivered to charitable institutions. (F. & D. nos. 37296, 37297, 37360. Sample nos. 60966-B, 60967-B, 61006-B, 61007-B, 61008-B.)

These cases involved alleged preserves that were deficient in fruit, that contained an excess of sugar, and most of which also contained added pectin.

On or about March 5 and March 18, 1936, the United States attorney for the District of Connecticut, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of 7½ cases of alleged preserves at Hartford, Conn., and 14 cases of alleged preserves at New Haven, Conn., and 140 jars of alleged preserves at Bridgeport, Conn., charging that the articles had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 8, 1934; August 20, September 13, and October 3, 1935; and Jan-

uary 15 and January 17, 1936, by Brook Maid Food Co. Inc., from Brooklyn N. Y., and that they were adulterated and misbranded in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The articles were variously labeled in part: "Brook-Maid Brand * * * Pure Preserves Strawberry [or "Raspberry Apple"] Brook-Maid Food Co., Brooklyn, N. Y."; "Sunrise Pure Preserves Raspberry [or "Strawberry"] * * * Distributed by Miner, Read & Tullock, New Haven, Conn."

The articles were alleged to be adulterated in that mixtures of fruit and sugar, most of which also contained added pectin, containing less fruit and more sugar than preserves should contain had been substituted for preserves; in that sugar and in most of the products also pectin had been mixed and packed with the articles so as to reduce or lower their quality; and in that the

On page 427 (N. J. 25838), line 1 should read: 25838. Misbranding of Diaplex. U. S. v. 98 Cartons and 39 Packages

Notices of Judgment Nos. 25801-25850

ERRATA NOTICE

21

of

be misbranded in that the statements on the es Raspberry", "Pure Preserves Strawberry", Apple", were false and misleading and tended laser when applied to products resembling press fruit than preserves should contain. Mistrher reason that the articles were imitations ler the distinctive names of other articles. products. On June 9, 1936, the lots seized at re ordered delivered to charitable institutions. led at Hartford was condemned and ordered

V. R. Gregg, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

U. S. v. 15 Gallon Cans of Oysters. Decree of o. 37304. Sample no. 63056-B.) of oysters that were decomposed and contained

I States attorney for the District of Minnesota, retary of Agriculture, filed in the district court lemnation of 15 gallon cans of oysters at Minnearticle had been shipped in interstate commerce by J. J. Scroggins & Co., from Baltimore, Md., lolation of the Food and Drugs Act.

adulterated in that water had been mixed and breduce or lower its quality or strength in that

reduce or lower its quality or strength, in that tolly or in part for the article, and in that the part of a decomposed animal substance.

ant having appeared and the article having bethat it was unfit for food, the court, on petition ordered it destroyed.

W. R. Gregg, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

anding of olive oil. U. S. v. Twenty-one 1-Gallolive Oil. Default decree of condemnation. o a public institution. (F. & D. no. 37312. Sample

ve oil in gallon, half-gallon, and quart cans, and showed that the product in the gallon and half-ttles was adulterated with tea-seed oil; also that lart cans were short in volume.

ted States attorney for the Eastern District of rt by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the seizure and condemnation of twenty-one 1-gallon

cans, 23 hair-gailou cans, and thirty-five 1-quart cans, and 138 bottles of olive oil at Detroit, Mich., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about January 31, 1936, by A. J. Capone Co., Inc., from New York, N. Y., and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended.

The bottles were labeled in part: "Cora * * * Pure Imported Olive Oil * * * Distributed by Cora Products Co. New York." The cans were labeled in part "Cora Brand One Gallon [or "Half Gallon" or "One Quart"]."

The article in the gallon, half-gallon cans, and the bottles was alleged to be adulterated in that tea-seed oil had been mixed and packed with the article so