The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it was rancid, cheesy, and

decomposed.

On or about July 8, 1936, the Trinidad Creamery Co., of Trinidad, Colo., having filed a statement confessing the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment was entered ordering that the product be destroyed.

HARRY L. BROWN, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26292. Adulteration of cream. U. S. v. Two 5-Gallon Cans of Cream. Consent decree of destruction. (F. & D. no. 87884. Sample no. 78833-B.)

This case involved cream that was decomposed and that was also filthy or adulterated with oil.

On June 30, 1936, the United States attorney for the District of Colorado, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of two 5-gallon cans of cream at Trinidad, Colo., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about June 27, 1936, by C. C. Crist, from Clayton, N. Mex., and G. O. Anderson, from Solano, N. Mex., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it was decomposed, putrid,

filthy, or adulterated with oil.

On or about July 3, 1936, the Trinidad Creamery Co., of Trinidad, Colo., having filed a statement confessing the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment was entered ordering that the product be destroyed.

HARRY L. BROWN. Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26293. Adulteration of cream. U. S. v. One 10-Gallon Can and One 5-Gallon Can of Cream. Consent decree of destruction. (F. & D. no. 37885. Sample no. 73834-B.)

This case involved cream that was decomposed.

On July 1, 1936, the United States attorney for the District of Colorado, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of one 10-gallon can and one 5-gallon can of cream at Trinidad, Colo., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about June 28, 1936, by Ernest Hillock, from Gallup, N. Mex., and J. C. Heathington, from Clarendon, Tex., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it was moldy, cheesy, and

decomposed.

On or about July 3, 1936, the Trinidad Creamery Co., Trinidad, Colo., having filed a statement confessing the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment was entered ordering that the product be destroyed.

HARRY L. BROWN, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26294. Adulteration of cream. U. S. v. Two 5-Gallon Cans and One 10-Gallon Can of Cream. Consent decree of destruction. (F. & D. no. 37886. Sample no. 3326-C.)

This case involved cream that was filthy and decomposed.

On July 3, 1936, the United States attorney for the District of Colorado, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of two 5-gallon cans and one 10-gallon can of cream at Denver, Colo., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about July 1, 1936, by Ernest Kendrick, from Arvada, Wyo.; Vern Willoughby, from Coalville, Utah; C. H. Fairchild, from Belmar, Nebr., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or

in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal substance.

On July 3, 1936, the Gold Coin Creamery Co., Denver, Colo., having filed a statement confessing the allegations of the libel, and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment was entered ordering that the product be destroyed.

HARBY L. Brown, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26295. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 1 Cube, et al., of Butter. Decree of condemnation. Product released under bond. (F. & D. no. 37895. Sample no. 69835-B.)

This case involved butter that was deficient in milk fat.

On June 16, 1936, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the

district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 52 cubes of butter at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about May 28, 1936, by Marwyn Dairy Products Corporation from Colfax, Wis., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was labeled in part: "Sunnyfield A & P Butter The Great Atlantic

and Pacific Tea Co."; "First Nat'l Stores, Inc., Somerville, Mass."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent of milk fat.

On June 23, 1936, the Marwyn Dairy Products, Inc., having appeared as claimant, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be released under bond conditioned that it be brought up to the legal standard.

HARRY L. Brown, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26296. Adulteration of canned salmon. U. S. v. 1,899 Cases, and 4,041 Cases of Canned Salmon. Consent decree of condemnation. Product released under bond. (F. & D. nos. 38383, 38388. Sample nos. 22055-C, 22102-C, 22103-C, 22116-C.)

These cases involved salmon that was in part decomposed.

On October 6, 1936, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of 5,940 cases of pink salmon at Seattle, Wash., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about August 11, 1936, by Premier Salmon Co., from Stevens Creek, Alaska, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in

part of a decomposed animal substance.

On October 16, 1936, the Premier Salmon Co., having appeared as claimant, and having consented to the entry of a decree, a consolidated judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be released under bond conditioned that it not be sold or disposed of in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

HARRY L. BROWN, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

## 26297. Adulteration and misbranding of olive oil. U. S. v. 6 Gallon Cans, et al., of Olive Oil. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. no. 37455. Sample no. 62918-B.)

This case involved olive oil that was adulterated with tea-seed oil.

On or about March 26, 1936, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 71 gallon, half-gallon, quart, and pint cans of olive oil at Norfolk, Va., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about March 3, 1936, by Moscahlades Bros. Inc., from New York, N. Y., and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: "Marca Elefante Olio Puro D'Oliva Vergine."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that tea-seed oil had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce or lower its quality or strength; and in that tea-seed oil had been substituted in whole or in part for olive oil, which

the article purported to be.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the following statements appearing on the labels were false and misleading and tended to deceive and mislead the purchaser when applied to a product containing tea-seed oil: "Imported Virgin Olive Oil"; "Marca Elefante Olio Puro D'Oliva Vergine [design of olive branch] The olive oil contained in this can is pressed from fresh picked selected olives. It is guaranteed to be absolutely pure under chemical analysis and is highly recommended \* \* \* L'olio di oliva che questa latta contiene, e prodotto da olive accuratamento scelte, e garantito di essere assolutamente puro sotto qualunque analisi chimica. Esso e altamente raccomandato tanto per uso da tavola come per uso medicinale. Imported from Italy."

The article was alleged to be misbranded further in that it was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article, namely, olive oil.

On September 29, 1936, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be destroyed.