Packed by La Feria Canning Company, LaFeria, Texas." The canned tomatoes consisted of two brands labeled respectively: "Garth's Brand Tomatoes \* \* \* Packed by Tyrrell & Garth, Highlands, Texas"; "Santa Rosa Brand Hand Packed Tomatoes \* \* \* Packed by A. S. Beard, Santa Rosa, Texas, and Roanoke, Va."

The tomato puree was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole

or in part of a decomposed vegetable substance.

The tomato puree was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements on the labels, "Net contents 5 Oz." and "Contents 4¾ Ozs. Avoir.", were false and misleading and tended to mislead and deceive the purchaser, and in that it was food in package form and the quantity of contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, since the quantity stated was incorrect.

The canned tomatoes were alleged to be misbranded in that they were canned food that fell below the standard of quality and condition promulgated by the Secretary of Agriculture, in that it did not consist of whole or large pieces and was not normally colored, and its package and label did not bear a plain and conspicuous statement as prescribed by the Secretary of Agriculture, indicating that it fell below such standard.

On September 7, 1936, no claimant having appeared, judgment was entered condemning the products and ordering that the canned tomatoes be delivered

to a charitable institution.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26626. Adulteration of salmon. U. S. v. 2,069 Cases of Pink Salmon. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond. (F. & D. no. 38425. Sample nos. 23599-C, 23644-C.)

This case involved an interstate shipment of salmon that was in part decom-

posed.

On October 16, 1936, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 2,069 cases of pink salmon at Seattle, Wash., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about August 29 and September 4, 1936, by the Deep Sea Salmon Co., from Skowl Arm, Alaska, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in

part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance.

On December 2, 1936, the Deep Sea Salmon Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, consent decree of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be released under bond, subject to compliance with provisions of the Food and Drugs Act.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26627. Adulteration of whitefish. U. S. v. 76 Cases of Whitefish. Product ordered released under bond for reshipment in foreign commerce. (F. & D. no. 38435. Sample no. 28503-C.)

This case involved an interstate shipment of frozen whitefish that was

infested with worms.

On October 8, 1936, the United States attorney for the Western District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 76 cases of frozen whitefish at Buffalo, N. Y., consigned by McInnes Products Co., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 15, 1936, from Detroit, Mich., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: "Whitefish Product of Canada."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in part of a

filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance.

On November 12, 1936, the McKey Brokerage Co., Detroit, Mich., and McInnes Products Co., Waterways, Alberta, Canada, having appeared as claimants and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment was entered ordering that the product be released under bond, conditioned that it be reshipped to the Dominion of Canada.