The article was labeled in part: "Old Mother Hubbard \* \* \* Pure Red Current Jelly Manufactured by Gold Label Kitchens, Inc. Chicago, Ill."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that it was mixed in a manner whereby

inferiority was concealed.

It was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement "Pure Red Currant Jelly" was false and misleading and tended to deceive and mislead the purchaser when applied to imitation red currant jelly; and in that it was an imitation of and was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On February 15, 1938, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered delivered to welfare organizations.

W. R. Gregg, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

28619. Adulteration of Pine-Cot and pineapple preserves. U. S. v. 19 Cases and 74½ Cases of Assorted Preserves. Consent decree of condemnation. Product released under bond for segregation and destruction of adulterated portion. (F. & D. Nos. 40038, 40039. Sample Nos. 43784-C, 43785-C, 43789-C.)

The Pine-Cot preserves and a portion of the pineapple preserves contained in

these assorted preserves were moldy and decomposed.

On or about August 9, 1937, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Florida, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of 93½ cases of assorted preserves at Jacksonville, Fla., alleging that the articles had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about April 16 and June 14, 1937, from Brooklyn, N. Y., by Brook-Maid Food Co., Inc., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. Portions of the article were labeled in part: "Brook-Maid Brand Pure Deluxe Pine-Cot [or "Pineapple"] Preserves \* \* \* Brook-Maid Food Co., Inc., Brooklyn, N. Y."

The Pine-Cot and a portion of the pineapple preserves were alleged to be adulterated in that they consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed and

putrid\_vegetable substance.

On February 2, 1938, the cases having been consolidated and the Brook-Maid Food Co., Inc., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered as to the Pine-Cot and a portion of the pineapple, and the products were ordered released under bond conditioned that all jars of the product which contained mold or were in a fermenting condition be segregated and destroyed.

W. R. GREGG, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

28620. Adulteration of canned tomato and celery juice. U. S. v. 50 Cases of Tomato and Celery Juice. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. No. 41057. Sample No. 1136-C.)

This product was wholly or in part decomposed.

On December 10, 1937, the United States attorney for the District of Montana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 50 cases of tomato and celery juice at Butte, Mont., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about March 13, 1936, by the Perry Canning Co. from Perry, Utah, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: (Cans) "Celto Brand Tomato and Celery Juice."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted wholly or in part of decom-

posed vegetable substances.

On January 25, 1938, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

J. R. Gregg, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

28621. Misbranding of potatoes. U. S. v. 200 Bags of Potatoes. Consent decree of condemnation. Product released under bond for relabeling. (F. & D. No. 42161. Sample No. 14173-D.)

This product was below the grade declared on the label.

On April 8, 1938, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 200 bags of potatoes at Boston, Mass., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about April 7, 1938, by B. J. Folsom from Harmony, Maine, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in