marked improvements as shown in the laboratory tests of both the blood and

urine of the cases cited."

3. That the article when shipped and delivered for shipment was offered as a cure, remedy, or treatment for diabetes, both independently and further in conjunction with the diet recommended on the label of the bottle and the carton, which said diet is commonly known to the layman as being restricted to and prescribed exclusively in the treatment of diabetes.

That the article was misbranded in that the statements, designs, and devices aforesaid falsely and fraudulently represented the curative and therapeutic

effectiveness of the article as a treatment, remedy, or cure for diabetes.

On October 19, 1937, a nolle prosequi was entered with respect to the Sanovapor Laboratories, Inc., and Ethelbert Kennedy Walker. On November 1, 1937, a plea of guilty was entered by defendant Gordon A. Guthrie, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

29273. Misbranding of Mentholated La Paris Kerchiefs. U. S. v. 58 Dozen Packages of Mentholated La Paris Kerchiefs (and 2 other seizure actions against the same product.) (F. & D. Nos. 41861, 41945, 42278. Sample Nos. 2878–D, 3031–D, 8442–D.)

The labeling of this product bore false and fraudulent curative and therapeutic

claims.

On March 7, March 11, and April 28, 1938, the United States attorneys for the Northern District of Illinois and the Northern District of California, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in their respective district courts libels praying seizure and condemnation of 58 dozen packages of Mentholated La Paris Kerchiefs at Chicago, Ill.; and 107½ dozen packages of the same product at San Francisco, Calif. The libel filed in the Northern District of California on March 11, 1938, was amended subsequently. The libels alleged that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce in part by the Sterilek Co., Inc., from New Hartford, N. Y.; in part by the East West Shippers, from New Hartford, N. Y., and in part by the East West Shippers from New York, N. Y., between the dates of January 11 and March 16, 1938; and charged that it was misbranded in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended.

A sample of the article upon analysis was found to consist essentially of tissue paper impregnated with volatile oils, including menthol and oil of

eucalyptus.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the following statements appearing in the labeling regarding its curative or therapeutic effects were false and fraudulent: "For * * * hay fever. Rose fever. Sinus. Soothes nasal irritation or * * * inflamed * * * skin. * * * Use as protection when in crowds * * * they are so soothing to inflamed skin * * * especially recommended for use in case of:—Rose Fever. Hay Fever."

On May 24, May 25, and June 27, 1938, no claimant having appeared, judgments of condemnation were entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

29274. Adulteration and misbranding of sandalwood oil. U. S. v. 9 Boxes of Sandalwood Oil, et al. Default decrees of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. Nos. 42240, 42381. Sample Nos. 12452-D, 12453-D, 13165-D.)

This product failed to comply with the requirements of the United States

Pharmacopoeia for sandalwood oil.

On April 27 and June 14, 1938, the United States attorney for the District of Connecticut, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of 25 boxes of sandalwood oil capsules at Hartford, Conn.; alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce in part on or about April 22, 1937, and in part on or about April 8, 1938, from New York, N. Y., by Jamco Co.; and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it was sold under a name recognized in the United States Pharmacopoeia, namely, sandalwood oil, and differed from the standard of strength, quality, and purity as determined by the test laid down in said pharmacopoeia and its own standard of strength,

quality, and purity was not stated on the label.

One lot was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement on the label, "Sandalwood Oil U. S. P., Pure East India," was false and misleading since it represented that the article was sandalwood oil which complied with the requirements of the United States Pharmacopoeia; whereas it was not sandal-