thing which the product under question purports to be. If Nesbitt's product purported to be orange juice, we should look to see if inferior orange juice was mixed and colored to conceal the inferiority. But it does not profess to be mere orange juice, but orange juice sweetened; and the label on the jugs does not stop there, but states there has been added fruit acid, certified color, and 0.01 percent of benzoate of soda, and gives 'Directions: Use one part with five parts plain water well iced.' It is thus offered as a basis for dilution into an iced drink, with the statement that it is a mixture of orange juice, sugar, fruit acid, certified color, and benzoate of soda. The evidence shows that the label is entirely truthful, and that all the ingredients are pure and harmless. The coloring matter, called 'sunset yellow,' is approved by the Food and Drug Administration as proper for use in foods. The color of the product is far deeper that that of orange juice, and looking at it one would know that it was not mere orange juice. But when diluted in the customer's presence by the retailer, it becomes of about the color of orange juice and simulates its taste. It is true that the beverage which the retailer thus prepares and sells is inferior to pure orange juice in its vitamin content, and the added color tends to conceal the weakness of the orange juice content, but this beverage is not shipped in interstate commerce, and its preparation and sale is not within the Food and Drugs Act. The retailer who buys these jugs of Nesbitt's product, which are shipped in interstate commerce, does not buy them as orange juice but as a mixture whose ingredients are disclosed from which he may prepare a beverage. In practice the jug is placed upon the retailer's counter with the full label in plain view, and the dilution is made in the customer's presence. There is intended by the producer no concealment of the fact that there is used a synthetic mixture based on orange juice sweetened. Every ingredient being pure and wholesome, color being openly added not to conceal anything but to make the final result more pleasing to the eye, we are unable to say that the Nesbitt product is adulterated and to be forfeited." Judgment affirmed.

(Foster, Circuit Judge, dissenting) "Conceding that the product is not deleterious to health, it certainly is not orange juice sweetened in the ordinary meaning of those words. It might as well be called sugar acidulated. The words Orange Juice Sweetened' are in large type. Other parts of the label fairly describing the ingredients are in very much smaller type. It is not probable that a purchaser of a drink made from the compound would notice the fine print. I consider the label tends to deceive and mislead the ultimate purchaser and therefore the article is misbranded within the prohibition of the Food and Drugs Act.

"With all due respect, I therefore dissent."

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

dulteration of flour. U. S. v. 242 Bags of Flour (and four similar seizure actions.) Consolidated decree of condemnation. Product released under bond to be reconditioned. (F. & D. Nos. 43909, 43914, 43945, 43946, 43959. Sample Nos. 33962–D to 33965–D, inclusive, 33967–D to 33974–D, inclusive.) 29750. Adulteration of flour.

This product having been shipped in interstate commerce and remaining unsold and in the original packages, was at the time of examination found to be insect-infested.

On September 17, 19, and 22, 1938, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of 1,963 bags of flour at Norfolk, Va.; alleging that the article had been shipped within the period from June 1, 1937, to May 21, 1938, by Fisher Flouring Mills Co. from Seattle, Wash.; and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The product was variously labeled in part: "Fisher's Turako [or "Green Tag," "Blended," "Mainsail," "Blue Tag," "Fisher Boy," "Famous 21," "Blendako," "White Spear Pastry," or "White Tag"] Flour."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in

part of a filthy vegetable substance.

On October 26, 1938, the cases having been consolidated and the Fisher Flouring Mills Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered, and the product was ordered released under bond conditioned that it be brought into conformity with the law under the supervision of this Department.