

1081. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 16 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation. Product released under bond to be reworked. (F. D. C. No. 2352. Sample No. 30511-E.)

On June 19, 1940, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois filed a libel against 16 tubs of butter at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about May 31, 1940, by Pruitt Produce from Ardmore, Okla.; and charging that it was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter. The article was labeled in part: "Creamery Butter The Peter Fox Sons Co. Distributors."

On July 26, 1940, the Peter Fox Sons Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond to be reworked so that it contain 80 percent of milk fat.

1082. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 96 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond to be reworked. (F. D. C. No. 2506. Sample Nos. 30522-E, 30527-E.)

On or about July 18, 1940, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois filed a libel against 96 tubs of butter at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about July 7, 1940, by Saline County Milk Producers Association from Marshall, Mo.; and charging that it was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter. The article was labeled in part: "S. S. Borden Co. Chicago, Distributor."

On July 22, 1940, the Saline County Milk Producers Association, claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered, and the product was ordered released under bond conditioned that it be reworked so as to contain at least 80 percent by weight of milk fat.

1083. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 15 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation. Product released under bond to be reworked. (F. D. C. No. 3238. Sample No. 34176-E.)

On October 9, 1940, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York filed a libel against 15 tubs of butter at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 20, 1940, by the Wallace Creamery, of Wallace, S. Dak., in a pool car shipped from Minneapolis, Minn.; and charging that it was adulterated and misbranded. It was labeled in part: "Butter Distributed by Dairy & Poultry Coop. Inc. * * * New York."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter. It was alleged to be misbranded in that it was labeled "Butter," which was false and misleading as it contained less than 80 percent of milk fat.

On October 21, 1940, the Wallace Creamery Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered, and the product was ordered released under bond conditioned that it be reworked so that it contain at least 80 percent milk fat.

1084. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 25 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond to be reworked. (F. D. C. No. 2507. Sample No. 4941-E.)

On or about July 18, 1940, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois filed a libel against 25 tubs of butter at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about June 24, 1940, by the W. D. Wright Produce Co. from Hobart, Okla.; and charging that it was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter. The article was labeled in part: "Butter The Peter Fox Sons Co. Distributors."

On August 2, 1940, the Peter Fox Sons Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered, and the product was ordered released under bond, conditioned that it be reworked so that it comply with the law.