

applied to an article that was not Fancy because the corn was old and hard. The article was labeled in part: (Cans) "Uco Our Best Grade Fancy Cream Golden Sweet Corn Contents 1 Lb. 4 Oz."

On July 12, 1941, the Uco Food Corporation, Newark, N. J., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was released under bond conditioned that it be relabeled under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

**1976. Misbranding of canned corn. U. S. v. 36 Cases and 102 Cases of Canned Corn. Default decree of condemnation. Product ordered delivered to a local charitable agency. (F. D. C. No. 4396. Sample Nos. 69010-E, 69011-E.)**

A portion of this product was found to contain pieces of cob, some husk, and a number of yellow kernels in addition to those that were overmature.

On April 22, 1941, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York filed a libel against 138 cases, each containing 24 No. 2 cans, of corn at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about December 30, 1940, by Minnesota Consolidated Canneries, Inc., Minneapolis, Minn., from Waseca, Minn.; and charging that it was misbranded in that the term "Fancy" was false and misleading. The article was labeled in part: (Cans) "Connoisseur Fancy Cream Style Golden Sweet Corn [or "White Cream Style Crosby Corn"]."

On May 16, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered delivered to a local charitable agency for consumption but not for sale.

**1977. Misbranding of canned corn. U. S. v. 479 Cases of Canned Corn. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond to be relabeled. (F. D. C. No. 4429. Sample No. 69017-E.)**

On April 23, 1941, the United States attorney for the District of New Jersey filed a libel against 479 cases, each containing 24 No. 2 cans, of corn at Newark, N. J., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about March 31, 1941, by Haxton Canning Co. from Oakfield, N. Y.; and charging that it was misbranded in that the term "Fancy" was false and misleading as applied to an article that was not Fancy because of the presence of corn that was tough and old. The article was labeled in part: (Cans) "Uco Our Best Grade Fancy Cream Style Golden Sweet Corn."

On July 17, 1941, Uco Food Corporation, claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

**1978. Misbranding of canned corn. U. S. v. 1,039 Cases of Canned Corn. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond to be relabeled. (F. D. C. No. 4869. Sample No. 55676-E.)**

This product failed to meet the requirements of Fancy quality corn because of overmaturity of the kernels and the presence of excessive cob, husk, and silk. Furthermore, it was labeled Golden Bantam, but the kernels were too small to be typical of that variety of corn.

On June 4, 1941, the United States attorney for the District of Oregon filed a libel against 1,039 cases, each containing 24 No. 2 cans, of corn at Portland, Oreg., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Midland Canning Corporation from Billings, Mont., on or about October 16, 1940; and charging that it was misbranded. It was labeled in part: (Cans) "Old Yellowstone Brand Fancy Cream Style Golden Bantam Corn."

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements "Fancy" and "Golden Bantam" were false and misleading as applied to an article that was not Fancy because of overmaturity of the corn and the presence of too much cob, husk, and silk, and which was yellow corn but not of the Golden Bantam variety.

On July 14, 1941, the Midland Canning Corporation, claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond conditioned that it be relabeled under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

**1979. Misbranding of canned corn. U. S. v. 576 Cases of Canned Corn. Decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond to be relabeled. (F. D. C. No. 4454. Sample No. 14296-E.)**

A portion of this product was found to contain kernels that were dark and off-color as well as those that were overmature.