capsules, beetles, storage insect fragments, storage larvae or capsules, storage larvae fragments, rodent hairs, and feather fragments; and, Section 402 (a) (4), the rolls and a portion of the bread had been prepared and packed under insanitary conditions whereby they may have become contaminated with filth.

Misbranding, Section 403 (e) (2), the bread failed to bear a label containing an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents, since the loaves weighed less than "1½ Lbs."

DISPOSITION: May 14, 1945. A plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of $150 on each of 3 counts in the information, and $50 on the remaining 2 counts, a total fine of $550.

7946. Misbranding of bread. U. S. v. Three Brothers Baking Co. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, $75. (F. D. C. No. 14246. Sample Nos. 70861–F, 70975–F, 71054–F.)

INFORMATION FILED: January 11, 1945, District of Oregon, against the Three Brothers Baking Co., a corporation, Portland, Oreg.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: Between the approximate dates of March 10 and June 6, 1944, from the State of Oregon into the State of Washington.

LABEL, IN PART: "Big Boy Bread * * * Sliced White 1½ Lbs."

VIOLATION CHARGED: Misbranding, Section 403 (e) (2), the article failed to bear a label containing an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents, since the loaves of bread weighed less than the declared weight.

DISPOSITION: January 26, 1945. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered on behalf of the corporation, a fine of $25 on each of 3 counts, a total of $75, was imposed.

7947. Misbranding of bread. U. S. v. Pioneer Baking Co., Inc. Plea of guilty. Fine, $1,000. (F. D. C. No. 14271. Sample Nos. 60485–F, 72825–F.)

INFORMATION FILED: January 24, 1945, Northern District of California, against the Pioneer Baking Co., Inc., Sacramento, Calif.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about May 16 and July 25, 1944, from the State of California into the State of Nevada.

LABEL, IN PART: "Enriched Old Home Sliced White Bread [or "Betsy Ross The Old Fashioned Bread"] * * * Old Home Bakers, Sacramento, Calif."

VIOLATION CHARGED: Misbranding, Section 403 (e) (2), the product failed to bear a label containing an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents, since the loaves of bread were labeled "Net Wt. 1 Lb." or "Net Wt. 1½ Lbs.," and they weighed less than 1 pound or 1½ pounds, respectively.

DISPOSITION: February 21, 1945. A plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of $500 on each of the 2 counts of the information.

7948. Adulteration of cake and bread. U. S. v. Southern Baking Corporation. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, $1,500 and costs; firm placed on 6 months' probation. (F. D. C. No. 12538. Sample Nos. 79411–F, 79412–F, 79415–F, 79417–F.)

INDICTMENT RETURNED: June 24, 1944, Southern District of West Virginia, against the Southern Baking Corporation, Bluefield, W. Va.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 4 and 7, 1944, from the State of West Virginia into the State of Virginia.

LABEL, IN PART: "Dainty Maid Cake 15¢ [or "5¢"]," or "Southern Pride Enriched Bread."

VIOLATIONS CHARGED: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the products consisted in whole or in part of filthy substances by reason of the presence of insect fragments, hair fragments resembling rodent hairs, insect larvae, a rodent hair, and a larva cast skin; and, Section 402 (a) (4), they had been prepared, packed, and held under insanitary conditions whereby they may have become contaminated with filth.

DISPOSITION: June 26, 1944. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered on behalf of the defendant, a fine of $500 on count 1 and fines of $1,000 on each of the other 2 counts, together with costs, were imposed. The fines on counts 2 and 3 were suspended and the defendant was placed on 6 months' probation. On February 1, 1945, the defendant having failed to comply satisfactorily by that date with the provisions of the law, the suspension of judgment on count 2 was set aside and the fine of $1,000 was reinstated. On the