DISPOSITION: December 19, 1946. A plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of \$250 on each count, a total fine of \$500.

11646. Adulteration and misbranding of apple butter. U. S. v. 422 Cases * * * (and 1 other seizure action). (F. D. C. Nos. 20878, 21099. Sample Nos. 49958-H, 53332-H.)

LIBELS FILED: September 12 and 23, 1946, Southern District of Ohio and Northern District of Alabama.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about June 21 and August 7, 1946, by the Goodwin Preserving Co., from Louisville, Ky.

PRODUCT: Apple butter. 422 cases at Cincinnati, Ohio, and 81 cases at Birmingham, Ala. Each case contained 12 1-pound, 13-ounce jars.

LABEL, IN PART: (Jars) "Extra Fancy Goodwin's Best Pure Apple Butter Prepared from evaporated apples."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a product containing dried pear had been substituted in whole or in part for apple butter.

Misbranding, Section 403 (g) (1), the article failed to conform to the definition and standard of identity since it contained added dried bear, which is not permitted by the definition and standard of identity as an ingredient of apple butter prepared from evaporated apples.

DISPOSITION: October 23 and November 1, 1946. No claimant having appeared, judgments of condemnation were entered and the product was ordered delivered to charitable institutions.

11647. Adulteration and misbranding of wine vinegar. U. S. v. Muro Importing Co. and Nicholas Muro. Pleas of guilty. Fines of \$400 against each defendant. (F. D. C. No. 20959. Sample Nos. 4649-H, 4651-H.)

INFORMATION FILED: March 17, 1947, Eastern District of New York, against the Muro Importing Co., a partnership, Brooklyn, N. Y., and Nicholas Muro, a partner.

Alleged Shipment: On or about September 14, 1945, from the State of New York into the State of Pennsylvania.

LABEL, IN PART: "Muro Pure Wine Vinegar."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a mixture of wine vinegar and distilled vinegar, or acetic acid, had been substituted in whole or in part for wine vinegar; and, Section 402 (b) (4), distilled vinegar or acetic acid had been mixed and packed with the article so as to reduce its quality and strength.

Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statement "Pure Wine Vinegar" was false and misleading since the article did not consist entirely of wine vinegar, but did consist of a mixture of wine vinegar and distilled vinegar, or acetic

DISPOSITION: May 22, 1947. Pleas of guilty having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$400 against the company and \$400 against the individual.

11648. Adulteration and misbranding of wine vinegar. U. S. v. Arthur Needle (Randall Wine Vinegar Co.), and Jacob Needle. Pleas of guilty. Fine, \$500. (F. D. C. No. 20945. Sample Nos. 4620-H, 7714-H, 7789-H to 7791-H, incl., 7915-H, 7916-H.)

INFORMATION FILED: November 13, 1946, Southern District of New York, against Arthur Needle, trading as the Randall Wine Vinegar Co., New York, N. Y., and Jacob Needle, manager.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: Between the approximate dates of May 29 and July 27, 1945, from the State of New York into the States of Pennsylvania, Connecticut, and New Jersey.

LABEL, IN PART: "Eldeen Brand Packed By Eldeen Spice Co. [or "Randall Wine Vinegar Co."] New York Pure Wine Vinegar."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a mixture of wine vinegar and distilled vinegar, or acetic acid, had been substituted in whole or in part for wine vinegar, which the article was represented to be; and, Section 402 (b) (4), distilled vinegar or acetic acid had been mixed and packed with the article so as to reduce its quality and strength.