and relabeling of the substandard portion, under the supervision of the F_{00d} and Drug Administration. The entire lot was relabeled as substandard.

14464. Adulteration of dill pickles. U. S. v. 300 Cases, etc. (F. D. C. No. 25349. Sample No. 9937-K.)

LIBEL FILED: August 9, 1948, Southern District of New York.

Alleged Shipment: On or about June 15, 1948, by Orangeburg Foods, Inc., from Orangeburg, S. C.

PRODUCT: Dill pickles. 300 cases, each containing 4 1-gallon jars, and 395 cases, each containing 12 1-quart jars, at New York, N. Y.

LABEL, IN PART: "Royal Scarlet New Dill Cucumbers."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article was unfit for food by reason of the presence of grit.

Disposition: October 15, 1948. Orangeburg Foods, Inc., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond, conditioned that it be removed from its containers and washed, reprocessed, and repacked.

TOMATOES AND TOMATO PRODUCTS*

14465. Adulteration of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 198 Cases * * *. (F. D. C. No. 26578. Sample No. 5541-K.)

LIBEL FILED: February 9, 1949, District of Maine.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about October 16, 1948, by the Butterfield Canning Co., from Muncie, Ind.

PRODUCT: 198 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 3-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Augusta, Maine.

LABEL, IN PART: "Fort Western Brand Fancy Tomatoes."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of fly eggs and maggots.

DISPOSITION: April 22, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

14466. Adulteration and misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 1,493 Cases

* * * (and 3 other seizure actions). (F. D. C. Nos. 26159 to 26162,
incl. Sample Nos. 1527-K to 1530-K, incl.)

LIBELS FILED: January 10, 1949, Southern District of Florida.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about October 21 and November 5 and 8, 1948, by the Watkins Produce Co., from Thomasville, Ga.

Product: 2,435 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 3-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Jacksonville, Fla.

LABEL, IN PART: "Cole Brand Tomatoes * * * Packed by C. C. Cole, Hague, Virginia."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the quality of the article fell below the standard of quality for canned tomatoes because of low drained weight, as determined by the sieve test provided by the standard, and because of the presence of excessive peel; and its label failed to bear a statement that it fell below such standard.

^{*}See also No. 14304.

d

€.

 \mathbf{n} 5

r

1

Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), a portion of the article consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance by reason of the presence of decomposed

Disposition: February 8 and March 7, 1949, C. C. Cole having appeared as claimant for the portion of the product which was not adulterated and no claimant having appeared for the remainder of the product, judgments of condemnation were entered. The unadulterated portion of the product was released under bond for relabeling, under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency. The remainder of the product, 940 cases, was ordered delivered to a Federal institution, for use as animal feed.

14467. Adulteration and misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 298 Cases * *. (F. D. C. No. 26128. Sample No. 5533-K.)

LIBEL FILED: December 13, 1948, District of Maine.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about October 29, 1948, by the Brockport Cold Stor-

PRODUCT: 298 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 3-ounce cans, of tomatoes at

LABEL, IN PART: "Vine Ripened Tomatoes * * * Grade A * Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, New York, N. Y., Distributors." Great

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance by reason of the presence of

Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statements "Grade A * qualify as 'Grade A' * * * must meet the following requirements:-Drained Weight of not less than 66% of the can capacity" were false and misleading as applied to the article, which contained decomposed tomato material and which had a drained weight of 56 percent of the can capacity.

DISPOSITION: April 21, 1949. The shipper having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered

14468. Misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 400 Cases * * * other seizure action). (F. D. C. Nos. 24685, 26115. Sample Nos. 2550-

LIBELS FILED: March 26 and December 7, 1948, District of Maine and Northern

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about August 16, 1947, and September 17, 1948, by Albert W. Sisk & Son, from Pocomoke City and Linkwood, Md.

PRODUCT: 1,647 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 3-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Bangor, Maine, and Clarksburg, W. Va.

LABEL, IN PART: "Somerset Brand Tomatoes * * * Packed by Somerset Packing Co. Inc., Pocomoke City, Md.," or "Salem Brand Tomatoes Packed * * * by Salem Packing Co. Salem, Md."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the quality of the article fell below the standard of quality for canned tomatoes because of excessive peel in both lots and excessive blemishes in the lot bearing the "Salem Brand," and the label of the article failed to bear a statement that the product fell below such standard.