

CEREALS AND CEREAL PRODUCTS**BAKERY PRODUCTS**

16601. Adulteration of cookies. U. S. v. Arthur J. Frank (Frank Cookie Co.) and Herschell B. Frank. Pleas of nolo contendere. Each individual defendant fined \$100. (F. D. C. No. 29167. Sample Nos. 52898-K, 63881-K to 63883-K, incl.)

INFORMATION FILED: June 26, 1950, Middle District of Tennessee, against Arthur J. Frank, trading as the Frank Cookie Co., and Herschell B. Frank, Nashville, Tenn.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: Between the approximate dates of October 19 and November 23, 1949, from the State of Tennessee into the States of Indiana and Georgia.

LABEL, IN PART: (Portion) "Frank's Vanilla Wafers" and "Frank's Coconut Cookies."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the products consisted in part of filthy substances by reason of the presence of insect fragments and rodent hair fragments; and, Section 402 (a) (4), they had been prepared and packed under insanitary conditions whereby they may have become contaminated with filth.

DISPOSITION: October 18, 1950. Pleas of nolo contendere having been entered, the court fined each defendant \$100.

16602. Adulteration of oatcakes. U. S. v. 48 Packages * * *. (F. D. C. No. 29660. Sample No. 79904-K.)

LIBEL FILED: July 21, 1950, District of Massachusetts.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about May 8 and June 22, 1950, by C. S. Davisson & Co., from New York, N. Y.

PRODUCT: 48 1-pound packages of oatcakes at Boston, Mass.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402(a) (3), the product was unfit for food by reason of its rancidity.

DISPOSITION: October 9, 1950. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

FLOUR

Nos. 16603 to 16607 report actions involving flour that was insect- or rodent-infested, or both. (In those cases in which the time of contamination was known, that fact is stated in the notice of judgment.) The flour reported in No. 16608 failed to meet the standard for enriched flour.

16603. Action to enjoin and restrain the interstate shipment of buckwheat flour and corn meal. U. S. v. Stuart Roser. Consent decree granting injunction. (Injunction No. 223).

COMPLAINT FILED: January 27, 1950, District of Maryland, against Stuart Roser, Parkton, Md.

NATURE OF CHARGE: That the defendant had been, and was at the time, introducing and delivering for introduction into interstate commerce, at Park-