.

- 18586. Adulteration of sweet peppers. U. S. v. 7 Cases. * * * . (F. D. C. No. 32172. Sample No. 23765-L.)
- LIBEL FILED: November 23, 1951, District of Connecticut.
- ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 30, 1951, by Anna Myer's Pure Foods, Inc., from Garfield, N. J.
- PRODUCT: 7 cases, each containing 12 1-quart jars, of sweet peppers at New Britain, Conn.
- LABEL, IN PART: (Jar) "Mrs. Anna Myer's Sweet Peppers."
- NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of insect-infested peppers, and of a decomposed substance by reason of the presence of decomposed peppers.
- DISPOSITION: January 28, 1952. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

TOMATOES AND TOMATO PRODUCTS

18587. Misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 118 Cases * * * (F. D. C. No. 32897. Sample No. 8363-L.)

No. 1980. The comment of the state of the st

- LIBEL FILED: March 21, 1952, Northern District of New York.
- ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about October 19, 1951, by the Hopewell Canning Co., from Hopewell, Md.
- PRODUCT: 118 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 3-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Utica, N. Y.
- LABEL, IN PART: "Hopewell Brand Tomatoes."
- NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the product fell below the standard of quality for canned tomatoes since it contained excessive peel, and the label failed to bear a statement that the product fell below the standard.
- DISPOSITION: April 24 and May 20, 1952. Default decree of condemnation.

 The court ordered that the product be delivered to charitable institutions.

and of the william with a manifest of another after the contract

- 18588. Misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 66 Cases * * * . (F. D. C. No. 32261. Sample No. 2933–L.)
- LIBEL FILED: December 3, 1951, Southern District of West Virginia.
- ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about August 24, 1951, by Thomas Roberts & Co., from Kingston, Md.
- PRODUCT: 66 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 3-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Charleston, W. Va.
- LABEL, IN PART: "Pride of the Farm Brand Tomatoes."

brand referre treasition at the period out, seath period

- NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the quality of the article fell below the standard of quality for canned tomatoes because of excessive peel, and the label failed to bear a statement that the article fell below the standard.
- Disposition: December 27, 1951. Default decree of condemnation. The court mordered that the product be delivered to a charitable institution.