

## CHOCOLATE

18812. Adulteration of chocolate coating. U. S. v. 311 Boxes \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 32672. Sample No. 20909-L.)

**LIBEL FILED:** February 18, 1952, Eastern District of Louisiana.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about December 15, 1951, from Hershey, Pa.

**PRODUCT:** Chocolate coating. 311 boxes containing 16,400 pounds of the product at New Orleans, La. The product was subjected to fire while en route to New Orleans.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product was unfit for food by reason of its objectionable flavor and odor.

**DISPOSITION:** March 5, 1952. The Automobile Insurance Co. of Hartford, Conn., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond for segregation of the fit portion and destruction of the remainder, under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration. 2,950 pounds of the product were destroyed.

## SIRUP AND SUGAR

18813. Adulteration and misbranding of sorghum sirup and cane sirup. U. S. v. 30 Cans, etc. (F. D. C. No. 32380. Sample Nos. 34202-L, 34203-L.)

**LIBEL FILED:** January 2, 1952, Western District of Tennessee.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about November 11, 1951, by Leroy Morehead, from Route 1, Conehatta, Miss.

**PRODUCT:** 30 1-gallon cans and 1,665 ½-gallon cans of sorghum sirup and 321 ½-gallon cans of cane sirup at Memphis, Tenn.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Sorghum sirup. Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a mixture of sorghum, corn sirup, and sugar had been substituted for sorghum; and, Section 402 (b) (4), corn sirup and sugar had been added to the product and mixed and packed with it so as to increase its bulk and weight. Misbranding, Section 403 (b), the product was offered for sale under the name of another food, namely, pure sorghum.

Cane sirup. Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a mixture of cane sirup and corn sirup had been substituted for cane sirup; and, Section 402 (b) (4), corn sirup had been added to the product and mixed and packed with it so as to increase its bulk and weight. Misbranding, Section 403 (b), the product was offered for sale under the name of another food, namely, pure ribbon cane sirup.

Sorghum sirup and cane sirup. Misbranding, Sections 403 (e) (1) and (2), the products failed to bear labels containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor, and an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents; and, Section 403 (i) (2), the products were fabricated from two or more ingredients, and their labels failed to bear the common or usual name of each such ingredient.

**DISPOSITION:** June 2, 1952. The shipper, claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the products be released under bond to be relabeled, under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.

18814. Adulteration and misbranding of sorghum sirup. U. S. v. 15 Cans, etc. (F. D. C. No. 32476. Sample No. 32613-L.)

**LIBEL FILED:** February 4, 1952, Eastern District of Illinois.