

DISPOSITION: December 11, 1952. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a Federal institution for its use and not for sale.

19976. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. v. 304 Cans * * *. (F. D. C. No. 34042. Sample No. 38992-L.)

LIBEL FILED: October 27, 1952, Southern District of Ohio.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about October 20, 1952, by Lancaster Seafoods, Inc., from Morattico, Va.

PRODUCT: 304 pint cans of oysters at Zanesville, Ohio.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), water had been substituted in part for oysters; and, Section 402 (b) (4), water had been added to the product and mixed and packed with it so as to increase its bulk or weight and reduce its quality.

DISPOSITION: December 30, 1952. Default decree of destruction.

19977. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. v. 160 Cans * * *. (F. D. C. No. 33988. Sample Nos. 39224-L, 39225-L.)

LIBEL FILED: October 2, 1952, Middle District of Pennsylvania.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about September 29, 1952, by the Wilson Seafood Co., from Deal Island, Md.

PRODUCT: 160 pint cans of oysters in 1 barrel at Scranton, Pa.

LABEL, IN PART: "Delicious Oysters * * * Standards [or "Selects"]."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), water had been substituted in part for oysters; and, Section 402 (b) (4), water had been added to the product and mixed and packed with it so as to increase its bulk or weight and reduce its quality.

DISPOSITION: December 10, 1952. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

19978. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. v. 1 Barrel * * *. (F. D. C. No. 34264. Sample No. 66697-L.)

LIBEL FILED: December 1, 1952, Western District of Oklahoma.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about November 25, 1952, by Robbins Bros., from Mauricetown, N. J.

PRODUCT: 1 barrel containing 84 pint cans of oysters at Oklahoma City, Okla.

LABEL, IN PART: "Oysters Selects Robbins Bros. Port Norris, N. J. * * * Jersey's Best Brand Oysters."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), water had been substituted in part for oysters; and, Section 402 (b) (4), water had been added to the product so as to increase its bulk or weight and reduce its quality.

DISPOSITION: December 3, 1952. The shipper having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be delivered to a charitable institution for its use and not for sale.

19979. Misbranding of oysters. U. S. v. 144 Cans, etc. (F. D. C. No. 34244. Sample Nos. 4140-L, 39457-L.)

LIBEL FILED: November 24, 1952, Western District of Kentucky.