

**DISPOSITION:** January 15, 1953. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

**20026. Adulteration and misbranding of canned sardines. U. S. v. 1,700 Cases, etc. (F. D. C. No. 34261. Sample Nos. 42556-L, 42557-L.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** December 2, 1952, Northern District of California.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about November 20, 1952, the Sea Beach Packing Co., Monterey, Calif., delivered the product to San Francisco, Calif., for shipment to the Philippine Islands.

**PRODUCT:** 2,650 cases, each containing 100 5-ounce cans, of sardines at San Francisco, Calif. Examination showed that the product was anchovies.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Cans) "Luna Brand California Sardines Water and Salt Added" or "Unno Brand California Sardines in Tomato Sauce."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), anchovies had been substituted in whole or in part for sardines.

Misbranding, Section 403 (b), the article was offered for sale under the name of another food, sardines.

**DISPOSITION:** February 9, 1953. Dale Simmons, claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.

**20027. Adulteration and misbranding of canned sardines. U. S. v. 258 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 34262. Sample No. 42398-L.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** December 2, 1952, Northern District of California.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about November 19, 1952, the Sea Beach Packing Co., Monterey, Calif., delivered the product to San Francisco, Calif., for shipment to the Philippine Islands.

**PRODUCT:** 258 cases, each containing 100 5-ounce cans, of sardines at San Francisco, Calif. Examination showed that the product was anchovies.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Can) "Velera Brand California Sardines in Tomato Sauce."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), anchovies had been substituted in whole or in part for sardines.

Misbranding, Section 403 (b), the article was offered for sale under the name of another food, sardines.

**DISPOSITION:** February 13, 1953. P. J. Rhodes & Co., San Francisco, Calif., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.

**20028. Adulteration and misbranding of canned sardines. U. S. v. 1,079 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 34256. Sample No. 42555-L.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** December 2, 1952, Northern District of California.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about November 18, 1952, by the Enterprise Packing Co., from Monterey, Calif., to San Francisco, Calif., for shipment to the Philippine Islands.

**PRODUCT:** 1,079 cases, each containing 100 5-ounce cans, of sardines at San Francisco, Calif. Examination showed that the product was anchovies.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Can) "AAA Triple A Brand In Tomato Sauce \* \* \* California Sardines."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), anchovies had been substituted in whole or in part for sardines.

Misbranding, Section 403 (b), the product was offered for sale under the name of another food, sardines.

**DISPOSITION:** January 23, 1953. Ziel & Co., Inc., San Francisco, Calif., having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.

**20029. Adulteration and misbranding of canned sardines. U. S. v. 548 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 34254. Sample No. 42553-L.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** December 2, 1952, Northern District of California.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about November 20, 1952, by Oxnard Cannery, Inc., from Monterey, Calif., to San Francisco, Calif., for shipment to the Philippine Islands.

**PRODUCT:** 548 cases, each containing 100 5-ounce cans, of sardines at San Francisco, Calif. Examination showed that the product was anchovies.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Can) "Southern Gem Brand California Sardines."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), anchovies had been substituted in whole or in part for sardines.

Misbranding, Section 403 (b), the product was offered for sale under the name of another food, sardines.

**DISPOSITION:** January 23, 1953. Francis B. Vohryzek, San Francisco, Calif., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.

**20030. Adulteration and misbranding of canned sardines. U. S. v. 45 Cases \* \* \* (and 1 other seizure action). (F. D. C. Nos. 34258, 34259. Sample Nos. 42232-L, 42233-L.)**

**LIBELS FILED:** December 2, 1952, Northern District of California.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about November 19, 1952, Oxnard Cannery, Inc., Monterey, Calif., delivered the product to San Francisco, Calif., for shipment to the Philippine Islands.

**PRODUCT:** 45 cases, each containing 48 15-ounce cans, and 196 cases, each containing 100 5-ounce cans, of sardines at San Francisco, Calif. Examination showed that the product was anchovies.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Can) "Rose Bowl Brand \* \* \* In Tomato Sauce Salt Added \* \* \* California Sardines" or "Condor Brand California Sardines In Tomato Sauce Product of U. S. A."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), anchovies had been substituted in whole or in part for sardines.

Misbranding, Section 403 (b), the article was offered for sale under the name of another food, sardines.

**DISPOSITION:** February 18, 1953. Otis, McAllister & Co., Inc., San Francisco, Calif., claimant, having consented to the entry of decrees, judgments of condemnation were entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.