

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about September 30, 1952, by Albert W. Sisk & Son, from Cambridge, Md.

**PRODUCT:** 1,200 cases, each containing 24 1-pound cans, of tomatoes at Nashville, Tenn.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Can) "Pine Cone Brand Tomatoes."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of fly eggs and maggots.

**DISPOSITION:** March 9, 1953. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

**20084. Adulteration of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 962 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 34158. Sample No. 54340-L.)**

**LABEL FILED:** November 20, 1952, District of Delaware.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about October 29, 1952, by Thomas Roberts & Co., Inc., from Detroit, Mich.

**PRODUCT:** 962 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 3-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Frederica, Del.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Can) "Pride Of The Farm Brand."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of fly eggs and maggots, and of a decomposed substance by reason of the presence of decomposed tomato material.

**DISPOSITION:** February 11 and March 3, 1953. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a State institution, for use as animal feed.

**20085. Misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 890 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 34515. Sample No. 34765-L.)**

**LABEL FILED:** December 29, 1952, Eastern District of Arkansas.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about August 20, 1952, by Roberts Bros., Inc., from Baltimore, Md.

**PRODUCT:** 890 cases, each containing 24 15½-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Eudora, Ark.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Can) "Roberts Big R Brand."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the product fell below the standard of quality for canned tomatoes since it contained excessive peel and the label failed to bear a statement that the product fell below such standard.

**DISPOSITION:** January 19, 1953. The shipper, claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.

**20086. Misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 478 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 34419. Sample No. 69193-L.)**

**LABEL FILED:** December 11, 1952, District of New Mexico.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about September 19, 1952, by the Valley Canning Co., from Canutillo, Tex.