20179. Adulteration of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 800 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 34260. Sample Nos. 36667-L, 36668-L.)

LIBEL FILED: November 28, 1952, Southern District of Ohio.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about October 8, 1952, by Albert W. Sisk & Son, from Hurlock, Md.

PRODUCT: 300 cases, each containing 48 10-ounce cans, and 500 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 12-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Cincinnati, Ohio.

LABEL, IN PART: (Cans) "Queen Tomatoes."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance by reason of the presence of decomposed tomato material.

DISPOSITION: March 20, 1953. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

20180. Adulteration of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 464 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 32679. Sample No. 7242-L.)

LIBEL FILED: February 19, 1952, Western District of Pennsylvania.

ALEGED SHIPMENT: Between October 4 and November 3, 1951, by the New Madison Canning Co., from Osgood, Ohio.

PRODUCT: 464 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 3-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Sharon, Pa.

LABEL, IN PART: (Can) "Kitchen Queen Tomatoes."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance by reason of the presence of decomposed tomato material.

Disposition: December 23, 1952. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

20181. Misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 698 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 34681. Sample No. 67099-L.)

LIBEL FILED: February 24, 1953, Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about August 25, 1952, by Thomas Roberts & Co., from Drawbridge, Md.

PRODUCT: 698 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 3-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Philadelphia, Pa.

LABEL, IN PART: (Can) "Robbins Tomatoes \* \* \* Packed In U. S. A. By Robbins Bros. Andrews, Md. Bethlehem, Md. and Drawbridge, Md."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the quality of the article fell below the standard of quality for canned tomatoes because of low drained weight, and the label failed to bear a statement that the article fell below such standard.

DISPOSITION: April 15, 1953. Robbins Bros., Andrews, Md., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, the court ordered that the product be released under bond for relabeling under the supervision of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.